HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

3

1

2

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. CR03-5139 RBL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT TACOMA

v.

ROBERT BYRON LEE,

Defendant-Appellant...

Appeals No. 04-30185

PROCEDURAL ORDER

This case comes before the court for consideration of re-sentencing pursuant to the remand of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and <u>United States v. Ameline</u>, 409 F.3d 1073 (9th Cir. 2005). A clarifying procedural order should now be entered.

In accord with <u>Ameline</u>, each party may file a pleading addressing the sole question of whether the sentence imposed would have been materially different had the district court known that the sentencing guidelines were only advisory. The court is mindful that the burden of proof is on the defendant to prove the positive of the stated proposition, and the court assumes that the burden is by a preponderance of the evidence. Counsel should be mindful that the question at this point is not whether the defendant <u>should</u> have received a different sentence. Those questions may be addressed if a re-sentencing is ordered.

On review of the aforementioned pleadings, the pre-sentence investigations, and transcripts of the sentencing, (if available), the court will either grant re-sentencing, deny re-sentencing, or order oral argument or an evidentiary hearing on whether there should be a re-sentencing.

Such pleadings should be filed not later than October 27, 2005.

ORDE

Page - 1

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk of the Court is instructed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing *pro se* at said party's last known address.

Dated this 5th day of October, 2005.

RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 2